

NIB / CSA / 209 9th May 2017

The General Manager
Pakistan Stock Exchange Limited
Stock Exchange Building
Stock Exchange Road
Karachi.

Dear Sir,

MATERIAL INFORMATION

We are in receipt of the attached order passed by the Honorable Senior Civil Judge Lahore in respect of the case filed against NIB Bank Limited by Ghulam Mustafa Shad (ex-employee).

Our lawyers are in the process of assessing the details of the case and we will make appropriate disclosures as and when the position is clarified.

You may please inform TRE Certificate Holders of the Exchange accordingly.

Yours truly,

Ather Ali Khan Company Secretary

Encl: As above.

BEFORE THE HON' ABLE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, LAHORE

20284-0

Suit No. /2617

Ghulam Mustafa Shad S/o Bashir Ahmad R/O House No-180, Block E-1 engineer town, sector A, Defence Road Lahore
(Through attorney Hannan Mustafa s/o Ghulam Mustafa)

Plaintiff

Versus

1. NIB Bank Limited

A banking company incorporated in pakistan,

Formerly having its head office at PNSC Building M.T. Khan Road, Karachi

and its registered office at first floor, post mall, F-7 Markaz Islamabad. Regional office

(through company secretary) NIB house, 14-A, old Race Course Road. LHR.

President NIB bank limited
 PNSC Building M.T. Khan Road, Karachi

3. Group Head SAM(special assets management) Department NIB Bank Limited

PASC Building M.T. Khan Road, Karachi

Pakistan Stock Exchange Limited having its head office at Stock exchange building, stock exchange road Karachi (Through its company secretary)

Temasek Holding (PVT) limited,

A company existing under the laws of Singapore

Having its registered office at 60 B Orchard Road #06.18 Tower 2

The atrium orchard.-Singapore 238891.

(through its company secretary)

Defendants

SUIT FOR DECLARATION AND PERMANENT INJUNCITON

with sind of sind of sixon

04.05.2016

Present.

Learned counsel for the petitioner/plaintiff.

ORDER

This is fresh suit, be registered.

2. Preliminary arguments heard, Record perused.

3.4 Alongwith the suit, the petitioner/plaintiff has filed an application U/O 39 Rule 1, 2 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction which is duly supported by an affidavit. The petitioner/plaintiff contends that he alongwith other staff of SAM department of defendant No.1 achieved the target given but incentives in this regard has not been given and without addressing the grievance of the plaintiff and other employees of SAM department preferred to call the EODM on 23.01.2017, for merger of defendant No.1 with MCB, however, the same could not be held and now they have again called EOGM on 11.05.2017. Learned counsel for the petitioner/plaintiff has submitted that the defendant No.4 is just a proforma defendant, hence notice to the defendant No.4 is not necessary. The petitioner/plaintiff is seeking injunctive order against said EOGM dated 11.05.2017. The contention raised by learned counsel for the petitioner/plaintiff needs consideration. Therefore, relying upon the affidavit and other appended documents, subject to nothers, the status quo qua the suit property be maintained till next datd/of hearing. However, this order shall not bar the legal proceedings of any other

1. 19150

court/forum of competent jurisdiction. This order shall deemed to have been vacated automatically, if not extended specifically on the nest date of hearing.

4 Let summons/notices subject to deposit of process fee alongwith registered envelope A.D and TCs be issued to the respondent/defendant for 18.05.2017. Process fee be deposited within three days.

Announced 04.05.2017

(Shahid All/Khokhar) Civil Judge 1st/Class, Lahore

39325 - 1 5 Jan Jan 30

ra Ph

CAME CAPE SOLL

Not to lake a

09/5/